## ONLINE TENDER FOR FIXING RATE CONTRACT FOR SUPPLY AND INSTALLATION FOR VESSEL SEALING SYSTEM

E964/DIAT/RC/TNMSC/ENGG/2023, DT: 18.04.2023

## **Result of Evaluation**

SI. No	Name of the firm	Model & Make	Technical evaluation report	Commercial evaluation report	Reason for rejection
1.	M/s. JBS Mediitec India Pvt. Ltd.	Model: Elsy 360 M+ with ligator / Make: Alan Electronic Systems Pvt. Ltd., India	Responsive	Responsive	
2.	M/s. The Advance Medical Systems Inc.,	Model: Excell NHP Endomed / Make: Alsa Apparecchi Medicali SRL, Italia	Responsive	Responsive	
3.	M/s. Xellance Medical Technologies Pvt. Ltd.	Model: Sigma+V / Make: Xcellance Medical Technologies Pvt. Ltd., India	Responsive	Responsive	
4.	M/s. Medifocus Incia Pvt. Ltd.	Model: LS10 Valleylab / Make: Medtronic, USA	Non- Responsive	Responsive	As per the demo report given by the specialist:- a. System does not have macro bipolar and Bipolar. b. No monopolar compatibility. Hence not recommended.
5.	M/s. Divlabs Healthcare Pvt. Ltd.	Model: Trinity QRF / Make: Divlabs, India	Non- Responsive	Responsive	1. As per the demo report given by the specialist:- The quoted model got malfunctioned during the surgery and hence could not be tested and hence not recommended.
6.	M/s. Lakshmi Agency	Maxseal / Make: Enertech Electronics Pvt. Ltd.	Non- Responsive	Non - Responsive	<ol> <li>As per the demo report given by the specialist:- The quoted model is not meeting the following tender specification:-         <ul> <li>The system should be able to seal vessel up to 7 mm.</li> <li>Seal should be formed by</li> </ul> </li> </ol>

SI. No	Name of the firm	Model & Make	Technical evaluation report	Commercial evaluation report	Reason for rejection
			report	Toport	melting of collagen & Elastin within the tissue Hence not recommended 2. Despite given chance the bidder did not furnish documentary evidence meeting the following terms of the tender as a non-manufacturer bidder:-
					a. The bidder, as authorized by the manufacturer, must have supplied and provided after sales service to the extent of at least 1 No. of similar model in the Schedule of Requirements in any one of the last five (5) years to Indian Institution, which must be in satisfactory operation at least for one year on the date of bid
					<ul> <li>opening.</li> <li>b. Documentary evidence (end users certificate) in support of the satisfactory operation of the goods are less than one year.</li> <li>c. The bidder did not furnish the Manufacturing capacity certificate for the quoted model.</li> <li>d. The bidder did not furnish</li> </ul>
					Performance Statement – Section X for last five years. e. Bidder has uploaded blank letterhead in there online Tender document. Hence not recommended