

**Dt.31.10.2023**

**EVALUATION REPORT RESULT**

**Tender no.E899/CS/NHM/TNMSC/ENGG/2022, Dt.19.12.2022**

**Online Tender for supply and installation of Cytospin to various Govt.  
Hospitals**

Sl. No	Name of the Bidder	Responsiveness of bidders		Reason for rejection
		Technical evaluation report	Commercial evaluation report	
1	<b>M/s. Agile Lifescience Technologies India Pvt. Ltd.,</b> Make: Hettich, Germany, Model: Rotofix 32 A	<b>Responsive</b>	<b>Responsive</b>	-----
2	<b>M/s. General Instruments,</b> Make: Slee, Germany, Model: CS Centrifuge-I	<b>Responsive</b>	<b>Responsive</b>	-----
3	<b>M/s. Salvator Healthcare Pvt. Ltd,</b> Make: Histo-Line Laboratories, Italy, Model: SP-100	Non-Responsive	Non-Responsive	<p>➤ The quoted make &amp; model not meet the following technical points:-</p> <p>1. The equipment should be capable of thin-layer cell preparation for retrieving cells from various body fluids especially paucicellular fluids and preserving their morphology – <u>Spreading artefact present</u>.</p> <p>2. Speed range within 100 to 4,000 rpm – <u>Offered 200 to 2,000 rpm</u>.</p> <p>➤ Despite given chance, did not furnish the enduser certificate to meet the qualification criteria with documentary evidence like purchase order, installation report etc for manufacturer supply. The documents furnished are only for non-manufacturer supply.</p>
4	<b>M/s. Sertel Electronics (Pvt.) Limited,</b> Make: Sertel Electronics, Model: Kytospin [T-AA-300-KSC]	Non-Responsive	Non-responsive	<p>➤ The quoted make &amp; model not meet the following technical points:-</p> <p>1. The equipment should be capable of thin-layer cell preparation for retrieving cells from various body fluids especially paucicellular fluids and preserving their morphology – <u>Spreading artefact present</u>.</p> <p>2. Should be capable of processing up to 12 specimens or more at one time – <u>Only 8 specimen can be processed</u>.</p> <p>3. Noise levels &lt; 50 Db – <u>Noisy</u>.</p> <p>➤ Despite given chance, did not furnish the enduser certificate to meet the qualification</p>

Sl. No	Name of the Bidder	Responsiveness of bidders		Reason for rejection
		Technical evaluation report	Commercial evaluation report	
				criteria with documentary evidence like purchase order, installation report etc for manufacturer supply. The documents furnished are only filed report of work done for "Development of Low Cost Automated System for Screening of Cervical Cancer (CerviSCAN-II)"
5	<b>M/s. Laby Instruments Industry,</b> Make: Laby, Model: Cyty-8	Non-Responsive	Responsive	➤ Not demonstrated. Despite given chance the bidder did not demonstrate their quoted make and model.
6	<b>M/s. The Western Electric &amp; Scientific Works,</b> Make: Weswox, Model: Wcyto-8	Non-Responsive	Responsive	➤ Not demonstrated. Despite given chance the bidder did not demonstrate their quoted make and model.