

**TENDER FOR FIXING RATE CONTRACT FOR SUPPLY OF VARIOUS
LABORATORY REAGENTS, KITS AND CONSUMABLES**

TENDER NO. 663/REAGENT/RC/TNMSC/ENGG/2021, DT. 12.02.2021

RESULTS OF EVALUATION AND FINALLY SHORTLISTED BIDDERS

LABORATORY REAGENTS:

1. EDTA Solution -10 %-200000ml

SAMPLE EVALUATION BY : DEPT. OF PATHOLOGY

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|---------------|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| 1. | M/s. Alan Medical & Laboratory Products | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | As per the sample evaluation report, the following are the reason for non responsiveness. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • pH is acidic. • Non stable in room temperature. |
| 2. | M/s. Genuine Bio systems | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | As per the sample evaluation report, the following are the reason for non responsiveness. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • pH is acidic. • Non stable in room temperature. • Kit insert not present. |
| 3. | M/s. MR Enterprises | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | As per the sample evaluation report, the following are the reason for non responsiveness. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • pH is acidic. • Non stable in room temperature. • Kit insert not present. |
| 4. | M/s. Ganapathy Trading Compant | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Rejected on Bid security. As per the sample evaluation report, the following are the reason for non responsiveness. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • pH is acidic. • Non stable in room temperature. |
| 5. | M/s. Biolab India | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Sample not submitted. Hence not recommended. |

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|--------|---|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| | Pvt Ltd | | | |
| 6. | M/s. Pallav Chemicals & Solvents Pvt Ltd. | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | <p>Rejected on Bid security.</p> <p>As per the sample evaluation report, the following are the reason for non responsiveness.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> pH is acidic. Non stable in room temperature. |

2. LUGOLS IODINE -1000000ml

SAMPLE EVALUATION BY : DEPT. OF PATHOLOGY

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|--------|---|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| 1. | M/s. Alan Medical & Laboratory Products | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | <p>As per the sample evaluation report, the product does not meet with the following points, hence not recommended:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Kit insert |
| 2. | M/s. Genuine Bio systems | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | <p>As per the sample evaluation report, the product does not meet with the following points, hence not recommended:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Kit insert |
| 3. | M/s. MR Enterprises | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | <p>As per the sample evaluation report, the product does not meet with the following points, hence not recommended:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Kit insert Short expiry of 3 months |
| 4. | M/s. Ganapathy Trading Compant | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | <p>Rejected on Bid security.</p> <p>As per the sample evaluation report, the product does not meet with the following points, hence not recommended:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Kit insert |

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|--------|---|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| | | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No expiry date |
| 5. | M/s. Biolab Diagnostics Pvt Ltd India | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Sample not received. Hence not recommended. |
| 6. | M/s. Pallav Chemicals & Solvents Pvt Ltd. | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | <p>Rejected on Bid security.</p> <p>As per the sample evaluation report, the product does not meet with the following points, hence not recommended:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Kit insert |

3. 3.8 % SODIUM CITRATE -2304000ML

SAMPLE EVALUATION BY : DEPT. OF PATHOLOGY

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|--------|---|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| 1. | M/s. Alan Medical & Laboratory Products | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | <p>As per the sample evaluation report, the product is not recommended for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Different controls were used daily to compare the sodium citrate solution which showed erratic values with known controls. Comparison with fully automated & manual methods showed highly erratic values. No kit insert to specify the % of sodium citrate. |
| 2. | M/s. Genuine Bio systems | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | <p>As per the sample evaluation report, the product is not recommended for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Different controls were used daily to compare the sodium citrate solution which showed erratic values with |

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|--------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| | | | | <p>known controls.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Comparison with fully automated & manual methods showed highly erratic values. • No kit insert to specify the % of sodium citrate. |
| 3. | M/s. MR Enterprises | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | <p>As per the sample evaluation report, the product is not recommended for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Different controls were used daily to compare the sodium citrate solution which showed erratic values with known controls. • Comparison with fully automated & manual methods showed highly erratic values. • No kit insert to specify the % of sodium citrate. |
| 4. | M/s. Ganapathy Trading Compant | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | <p>Rejected on Bid security.</p> <p>As per the sample evaluation report, the product is not recommended for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Different controls were used daily to compare the sodium citrate solution which showed erratic values with known controls. • Comparison with fully automated & manual methods showed highly erratic values. • No kit insert to specify the % of sodium citrate. |
| 5. | M/s. Biolab Diagnostics India | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | <p>Sample not received. Hence not recommended.</p> |

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|--------|---|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| | Pvt Ltd | | | |
| 6. | M/s. Pallav Chemicals & Solvents Pvt Ltd. | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | <p>Rejected on Bid security.</p> <p>As per the sample evaluation report, the product is not recommended for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Different controls were used daily to compare the sodium citrate solution which showed erratic values with known controls. • Comparison with fully automated & manual methods showed highly erratic values. • No kit insert to specify the % of sodium citrate. |

4. COOMB'S REAGENT VIAL – DIRECT -3840ML

SAMPLE EVALUATION BY : DEPT. OF PATHOLOGY

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|--------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| 1. | M/s. Genuine Bio systems | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | <p>As per the sample evaluation report, the product is not recommended for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Based on titre- 1:32. |
| 2. | M/s. MR Enterprises | Responsive | Non Responsive | <p>Not furnished performance certificates with PO and affidavit for the supplies made by them, Performance certificate, GST certificate, Manufacturing capacity certificate and cash credit limit certificate.</p> |

5. COOMB'S REAGENT VIAL – INDIRECT -3840ML

SAMPLE EVALUATION BY : DEPT. OF PATHOLOGY

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|--------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| 1. | M/s. Genuine Bio systems | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | As per the sample evaluation report, the product is not recommended for the following reasons: • Based on titre- 1:32. |
| 2. | M/s. MR Enterprises | Responsive | Non Responsive | Not furnished performance certificates with PO and affidavit for the supplies made by them, Performance certificate, GST certificate, Manufacturing capacity certificate and cash credit limit certificate. |

6. AEC REAGENT -38400 TESTS

SAMPLE EVALUATION BY : DEPT. OF PATHOLOGY

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|--------|---------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| 1. | M/s. MR Enterprises | Non Responsive | Not evaluated | Sample not received. Hence not recommended. |

LABORATORY KITS:

1. BILIRUBIN TEST KIT (TOTAL)- 16796800ML

SAMPLE EVALUATION BY : DEPT. OF BIO CHEMISTRY

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|--------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| 1. | M/s. Genuine Bio systems | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Sample not received. Hence not recommended. |
| 2. | M/s. M.C. Dalal & Co. | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Sample not received. Hence not recommended. |
| 3. | M/s. AGD Biomedicals Pvt Ltd | Responsive | Non Responsive | Rejected on Bid security. |
| 4. | M/s. MR Enterprises | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Sample not received. Hence not recommended. |
| 5. | M/s. Transasia Biomedicals Ltd | Responsive | Responsive | ----- |
| 6. | M/s. Biolab Diagnostics India Pvt Ltd | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Sample not received. Hence not recommended. |

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|--------|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| 7. | M/s. Mediglobe Systems | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Sample not received. Hence not recommended. |

2. BILIRUBIN TEST KIT (DIRECT)- 16796800ML

SAMPLE EVALUATION BY : DEPT. OF BIO CHEMISTRY

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|--------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| 1. | M/s. Genuine Bio systems | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Sample not received. Hence not recommended. |
| 2. | M/s. M.C. Dalal & Co. | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Sample not received. Hence not recommended. |
| 3. | M/s. AGD Biomedicals Pvt Ltd | Responsive | Non Responsive | Rejected on Bid security. |
| 4. | M/s. MR Enterprises | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Sample not received. Hence not recommended. |
| 5. | M/s. Transasia Biomedicals Ltd | Responsive | Responsive | ----- |
| 6. | M/s. Biolab Diagnostics India Pvt Ltd | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Sample not received. Hence not recommended. |
| 7. | M/s. Mediglobe Systems | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Sample not received. Hence not recommended. |

3. CHIKUNGUNYA IGM ELISA KIT - 9600 TESTS

SAMPLE EVALUATION BY : DEPT. OF BIO CHEMISTRY

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|--------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| 1. | M/s. M.C. Dalal & Co. | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | As per the sample evaluation report, kit has not been validated and approved by International (FDA)/ National Institutions to support the sensitivity and specificity. Hence not recommended. |

4. C-REACTIVE PROTEIN(CRP) TEST KIT-RAPID - 5000 TESTS

SAMPLE EVALUATION BY : DEPT. OF BIO CHEMISTRY

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|---------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| 1. | M/s. Genuine Bio systems | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Sample not received. Hence not recommended. |
| 2. | M/s. AGD Biomedicals Pvt Ltd | Responsive | Non Responsive | Rejected on Bid security. |
| 3. | M/s. M.C. Dalal & Co. | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Sample not received. Hence not recommended. |
| 4. | M/s. Transasia Biomedicals Ltd | Responsive | Responsive | ----- |
| 5. | M/s. Biolab Diagnostics India Pvt Ltd | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Sample not received. Hence not recommended. |

5. DENGUE NS1 ELISA KIT - 19200 TESTS

SAMPLE EVALUATION BY : DEPT. OF MICROBIOLOGY & DPH & PM

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|---------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| 1. | M/s. Transasia Biomedicals Ltd | Responsive | Responsive | ----- |
| 2. | M/s. M.C. Dalal & Co. | Responsive | Responsive | ----- |
| 3. | M/s. MR Enterprises | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | As per the sample evaluation report, the kit has not been validated and approved by International (FDA)/ National Institutions to support the sensitivity and specificity and does not meet with the point of ISO 13485 or its equivalent for QMS and the product should be CE certified. Hence not recommended. |
| 4. | M/s. MDC Healthcare Pvt Ltd | Responsive | Non Responsive | Not furnished performance statement for the supplies made by their manufacturer. Also not furnished Performance statement with PO along with affidavit for the supplies made by them as a non manufacturer, Licence, Market standing certificate, |

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|--------|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| | | | | GMP and ISO in the name of their Manufacturer. |

6. LEPTOSPIRA IGM ELISA KIT - 19200 TESTS

SAMPLE EVALUATION BY : DEPT. OF MICROBIOLOGY

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|--------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| 1. | M/s. M.C. Dalal & Co. | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | As per the sample evaluation report, the kit has not been validated and approved by International (FDA)/ National Institutions to support the sensitivity and specificity and does not meet with the point of ISO 13485 or its equivalent for QMS and the product should be CE certified. Hence not recommended. |

7. VDRL/SYPHILIS CARD TEST - 951600 TESTS

SAMPLE EVALUATION BY : DEPT. OF MICROBIOLOGY

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|--------|---|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| 1. | M/s. Lords Mark Industries Pvt Ltd | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Rejected on Bid security. Sample not submitted. Hence not recommended. |
| 2. | M/s. Alan Medical & Laboratory Products | Responsive | Non Responsive | Not furnished the performance certificate along with PO copy and affidavit for the supplies made by them and also their manufacturer and manufacturing capacity certificate. |
| 3. | M/s. MR Enterprises | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | As per the sample evaluation report, only 2 tests card provided for evaluation and kit insert not |

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|--------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| | | | | available. Hence not recommended. |
| 4. | M/s. Transasia Biomedicals Ltd | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | As per the sample evaluation report, the appearance of faint band in positive sample is not satisfactory. Hence not recommended. |
| 5. | M/s. Biolab Diagnostics India Pvt Ltd | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Sample not submitted. Hence not recommended. |
| 6. | M/s. Mediglobe Systems | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | As per the sample evaluation report, ISO details are not mentioned in the kit. Hence not recommended. |

8. TOTAL T4 TEST KIT BY ELISA - 28800 TESTS

SAMPLE EVALUATION BY : DEPT. OF BIO CHEMISTRY

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|--------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| 1. | M/s. M.C. Dalal & Co. | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | As per the sample evaluation report, the given kit is not satisfactory for standards and controls. Hence not recommended. |
| 2. | M/s. Transasia Biomedicals Ltd | Responsive | Responsive | ----- |
| 3. | M/s. MR Enterprises | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Sample not submitted. Hence not recommended. |

9. ABG (POCT) KIT - 46080 TESTS

NO BIDDERS PARTICIPATED

10. POC PT/INR STRIPS FOR ROCHE MODEL COAGUCHEK XS SYSTEM - 18000 NOS

SAMPLE EVALUATION BY : DEPT. OF PATHOLOGY

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|--------|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|
| 1. | M/s. Roche | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | As per the sample |

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|---------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| | Diagnostics India Pvt Ltd. | | | evaluation report, the strips are not recommended for the following reasons: 1. No controls. 2. Erratic values. 3. Open interaction of blood, which should not happen. 4. Accuracy. |

LABORATORY CONSUMABLES:

1. BMW BINS- PEDAL TYPE-RED COLOUR - 10000 NOS.

SAMPLE EVALUATION BY : DEPT. OF MICROBIOLOGY

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|---------------|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| 1. | M/s. Solokrafts Industries | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | As per the sample evaluation report, the lid color is black in color, hence not recommended. |
| 2. | M/s. Alan Medical & Laboratory Products | Responsive | Responsive | ----- |
| 3. | M/s. MR Enterprises | Responsive | Non Responsive | Not furnished performance certificates with PO and affidavit for the supplies made by them, Performance certificate, GST certificate, Manufacturing capacity certificate and cash credit limit certificate. |
| 4. | M/s. Thirupathi Enterprises | Responsive | Non Responsive | Not furnished performance certificates with PO and affidavit for the supplies made by them, Performance certificate, GST certificate, Manufacturing capacity certificate and cash credit limit certificate etc. |
| 5. | M/s. Pallav Chemicals & Solvents Pvt Ltd. | Responsive | Non Responsive | Rejected on Bid security. |

2. BMW BINS- PEDAL TYPE-YELLOW COLOUR - 10000 NOS.**SAMPLE EVALUATION BY : DEPT. OF MICROBIOLOGY**

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|---------------|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| 1. | M/s. Solokrafts Industries | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | As per the sample evaluation report, the lid color is black in color, hence not recommended. |
| 2. | M/s. Alan Medical & Laboratory Products | Responsive | Responsive | ----- |
| 3. | M/s. MR Enterprises | Responsive | Non Responsive | Not furnished performance certificates with PO and affidavit for the supplies made by them, Performance certificate, GST certificate, Manufacturing capacity certificate and cash credit limit certificate. |
| 4. | M/s. Thirupathi Enterprises | Responsive | Non Responsive | Not furnished performance certificates with PO and affidavit for the supplies made by them, Performance certificate, GST certificate, Manufacturing capacity certificate and cash credit limit certificate etc. |
| 5. | M/s. Pallav Chemicals & Solvents Pvt Ltd. | Responsive | Non Responsive | Rejected on Bid security. |

3. BMW BINS- PEDAL TYPE-BLUE COLOUR - 2500 NOS.**SAMPLE EVALUATION BY : DEPT. OF MICROBIOLOGY**

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|---------------|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| 1. | M/s. Solokrafts Industries | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | As per the sample evaluation report, the lid color is black in color, hence not recommended. |
| 2. | M/s. Alan Medical & Laboratory Products | Responsive | Responsive | ----- |
| 3. | M/s. MR Enterprises | Responsive | Non Responsive | Not furnished performance certificates with PO and affidavit for the supplies |

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|--------|---|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| | | | | made by them, Performance certificate, GST certificate, Manufacturing capacity certificate and cash credit limit certificate. |
| 4. | M/s. Thirupathi Enterprises | Responsive | Non Responsive | Not furnished performance certificates with PO and affidavit for the supplies made by them, Performance certificate, GST certificate, Manufacturing capacity certificate and cash credit limit certificate etc. |
| 5. | M/s. Pallav Chemicals & Solvents Pvt Ltd. | Responsive | Non Responsive | Rejected on Bid security. |

4. CONTAINER FOR WATER SAMPLE COLLECTION(STERILE)-150 ML - 12500 NOS.

SAMPLE EVALUATION BY : DEPT. OF MICROBIOLOGY

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|--------|---|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| 1. | M/s. Alan Medical & Laboratory Products | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Did not submit sample. Hence not recommended. |
| 2. | M/s. MR Enterprises | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | As per the sample evaluation report, quality check failed, hence not recommended. |
| 3. | M/s. Ganapathy Trading Company | Responsive | Non Responsive | Rejected on Bid security. |

5. COTTON ROLL – NON ABSORBANT - 100000 GRAM

SAMPLE EVALUATION BY : DEPT. OF BIO CHEMISTRY

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|--------|---|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| 1. | M/s. Alan Medical & Laboratory Products | Responsive | Non Responsive | Not furnished the performance certificate along with PO copy and affidavit for the supplies made by them and also |

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|--------|---|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| | | | | their manufacturer and manufacturing capacity certificate. |
| 2. | M/s. MR Enterprises | Responsive | Non Responsive | Not furnished performance certificates with PO and affidavit for the supplies made by them, Performance certificate, GST certificate, Manufacturing capacity certificate and cash credit limit certificate. |
| 3. | M/s. Pallav Chemicals & Solvents Pvt Ltd. | Responsive | Non Responsive | Rejected on Bid security. |

6. ESR PIPETTES – DISPOSABLE - 100000 NOS.

SAMPLE EVALUATION BY : DEPT. OF PATHOLOGY

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|--------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| 1. | M/s. M.C. Dalal & Co. | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Sample not received. Hence not recommended |
| 2. | M/s. MR Enterprises | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | As per the sample evaluation report, the product does not meet with the following points, hence not recommended: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No kit insert. • No calibration certificate. • Erratic values. |
| 3. | M/s. Thirupathi Enterprises | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Sample not received. Hence not recommended |
| 4. | M/s. Ganapathy Trading Company | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Rejected on Bid security. <p>As per the sample evaluation report, the product does not meet with the following points, hence not recommended:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No kit insert. • No calibration certificate. • Erratic values. |

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|---------------|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| 5. | M/s. Pallav Chemicals & Solvents Pvt Ltd. | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Rejected on Bid security. As per the sample evaluation report, the product does not meet with the following points, hence not recommended: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No kit insert. • No calibration certificate. • Erratic values. |
| 6. | M/s. Mediglobe Systems | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | As per the sample evaluation report, the product does not meet with the following points, hence not recommended: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No kit insert. • No calibration certificate. • Erratic values. |

7. LANCET - 6500000 NOS.

SAMPLE EVALUATION BY : DEPT. OF PATHOLOGY

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|---------------|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| 1. | M/s. Alan Medical & Laboratory Products | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | As per the sample evaluation report, the model does not meet with sharpness and safety cap. Hence not recommended. |
| 2. | M/s. M.C. Dalal & Co. | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Sample not received. Hence not recommended |
| 3. | M/s. MR Enterprises | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Sample not received. Hence not recommended |
| 4. | M/s. Thirupathi Enterprises | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Sample not received. Hence not recommended |
| 5. | M/s. Biolab Diagnostics India Pvt Ltd | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Sample not received. Hence not recommended |
| 6. | M/s. Pallav Chemicals & Solvents Pvt Ltd. | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Rejected on Bid security. As per the sample evaluation report, the model does not meet with |

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|--------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| | | | | sharpness and safety cap. Hence not recommended. |
| 7. | M/s. Mediglobe Systems | Responsive | Non Responsive | Not furnished manufacturing capacity certificate and cash credit limit certificate. |
| 8. | M/s. Becton & Dickinson India Pvt Ltd | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Sample not received. Hence not recommended |

8. NEEDLE WITH SYRINGE-2 ML - 7500000 NOS.

SAMPLE EVALUATION BY : DEPT. OF BIO CHEMISTRY

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|--------|---|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| 1. | M/s. MR Enterprises | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | As per the sample evaluation report, the product is not ISO certified. Hence not recommended. |
| 2. | M/s. Pallav Chemicals & Solvents Pvt Ltd. | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Rejected on Bid security. As per the sample evaluation report, the product is not ISO certified and 3ml syringe instead of 2ml syringe. Hence not recommended. |

9. NEEDLE WITH SYRINGE-5 ML - 7500000 NOS.

SAMPLE EVALUATION BY : DEPT. OF BIO CHEMISTRY

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|--------|---|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| 1. | M/s. MR Enterprises | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | As per the sample evaluation report, the product is not ISO certified. Hence not recommended. |
| 2. | M/s. Pallav Chemicals & Solvents Pvt Ltd. | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Rejected on Bid security. As per the sample evaluation report, the product is not ISO certified. Hence not recommended. |

10. SERUM VIALS - 1250000 NOS.**SAMPLE EVALUATION BY : DEPT. OF BIO CHEMISTRY**

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|---------------|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| 1. | M/s. Alan Medical & Laboratory Products | Responsive | Responsive | ----- |
| 2. | M/s. M.C. Dalal & Co. | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Sample not submitted. Hence not recommended. |
| 3. | M/s. MR Enterprises | Responsive | Non Responsive | Not furnished performance certificates with PO and affidavit for the supplies made by them, Performance certificate, GST certificate, Manufacturing capacity certificate and cash credit limit certificate. |
| 4. | M/s. Thirupathi Enterprises | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Sample not submitted. Hence not recommended. |
| 5. | M/s. Pallav Chemicals & Solvents Pvt Ltd. | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Rejected on Bid security. Sample not submitted. Hence not recommended. |

11. SPUTUM CONTAINER- NON STERILE - 450000 NOS.**SAMPLE EVALUATION BY : DEPT. OF MICROBIOLOGY**

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|---------------|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| 1. | M/s. Lords Mark Industries Pvt Ltd | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Rejected on Bid security. Did not submit sample. Hence not recommended. |
| 2. | M/s. Alan Medical & Laboratory Products | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Did not submit sample. Hence not recommended. |
| 3. | M/s. M.R. Enterprises | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Did not submit sample. Hence not recommended. |
| 4. | M/s. Thirupathi Enterprises | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Did not submit sample. Hence not recommended. |
| 5. | M/s. Ganapathy Trading Company | Responsive | Non Responsive | Rejected on Bid security. |

12. SURGICAL COTTON ROLL - ABSORBENT - 7500000 GRAMS**SAMPLE EVALUATION BY : DEPT. OF MICROBIOLOGY**

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|---------------|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| 1. | M/s. M.R. Enterprises | Responsive | Non Responsive | Not furnished performance certificates with PO and affidavit for the supplies made by them, Performance certificate, GST certificate, Manufacturing capacity certificate and cash credit limit certificate. |
| 2. | M/s. Alan Medical & Laboratory Products | Responsive | Non Responsive | Not furnished the performance certificate along with PO copy and affidavit for the supplies made by them and also their manufacturer and manufacturing capacity certificate. |
| 3. | M/s. Pallav Chemicals & Solvents Pvt Ltd. | Responsive | Non Responsive | Rejected on Bid security. |

13. TEST TUBE STAND-PP-WITH 96 HOLES - 4500 NOS.

SAMPLE EVALUATION BY : DEPT. OF BIO CHEMISTRY

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|---------------|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| 1. | M/s. M.R. Enterprises | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | As per the sample evaluation report, the model does not meet with the following points, hence not recommended. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 12mm inner dia instead of 13.5mm. • Width <150mm instead of =150mm. • Height <54mm instead of =54mm. • Yellow color instead of white. • Alphanumeric marking not available. |
| 2. | M/s. Pallav Chemicals & Solvents Pvt Ltd. | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Rejected on Bid security. As per the sample |

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|--------|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| | | | | <p>evaluation report, the model does not meet with the following points, hence not recommended.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Autoclavable- not mentioned. • Length 20.58mm not available. • White color not available. |

14. TISSUE PAPER ROLL - 25000 ROLL

SAMPLE EVALUATION BY : DEPT. OF BIO CHEMISTRY

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|--------|---|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| 1. | M/s. Alan Medical & Laboratory Products | Responsive | Responsive | ----- |
| 2. | M/s. M.R. Enterprises | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Sample not submitted. Hence not recommended. |
| 3. | M/s. Mediglobe Systems | Responsive | Non Responsive | Not furnished manufacturing capacity certificate and cash credit limit certificate. |
| 4. | M/s. Pallav Chemicals & Solvents Pvt Ltd. | Responsive | Non Responsive | Rejected on Bid security. |

15. TOURNIQUET - 4500 NOS

SAMPLE EVALUATION BY : DEPT. OF BIO CHEMISTRY

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|--------|---|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| 1. | M/s. Alan Medical & Laboratory Products | Responsive | Responsive | ----- |
| 2. | M/s. M.R. Enterprises | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | As per the sample evaluation report, the tourniquet is with metal buckles instead of plastic buckles. Hence not recommended. |
| 3. | M/s. Pallav Chemicals & | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Rejected on Bid security. |

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|---------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| | Solvents Pvt Ltd. | | | Sample not submitted. Hence not recommended. |

16. URINE CONTAINER-STERILE - 100000 NOS

SAMPLE EVALUATION BY : DEPT. OF MICROBIOLOGY

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|---------------|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| 1. | M/s. Lords Mark Industries Pvt Ltd. | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Rejected on Bid security. Did not submit sample. Hence not recommended. |
| 2. | M/s. Alan Medical & Laboratory Products | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Did not submit sample. Hence not recommended. |
| 3. | M/s. M.R. Enterprises | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Did not submit sample. Hence not recommended. |
| 4. | M/s. Thirupathi Enterprises | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Did not submit sample. Hence not recommended. |
| 5. | M/s. Ganapathy Trading Company | Responsive | Non Responsive | Rejected on Bid security. |
| 6. | M/s. Mediglobe Systems | Responsive | Non Responsive | Not furnished manufacturing capacity certificate and cash credit limit certificate. |
| 7. | M/s. Pallav Chemicals & Solvents Pvt Ltd. | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Rejected on Bid security. Did not submit sample. Hence not recommended. |

17. LATEX EXAMINATION GLOVES - 1500000 NOS

SAMPLE EVALUATION BY : DEPT. OF BIO CHEMISTRY

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|---------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| 1. | M/s. M.R. Enterprises | Responsive | Non Responsive | Not furnished performance certificates with PO and affidavit for the supplies made by them, Performance certificate, GST certificate, Manufacturing capacity certificate and cash credit limit certificate. |

**18. K2 EDTA SPRAY DRIES WITH 3.6MG WITH HEMOGARD CLOSURE 2 ML
- 1500000**

SAMPLE EVALUATION BY : DEPT. OF PATHOLOGY

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|---------------|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| 1. | M/s. Sri Mannar & Co | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | As per the sample evaluation report, the model does not meet with consistency and repeatability. Hence not recommended. |
| 2. | M/s. Lords Mark Industries Pvt Ltd | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Rejected on Bid security. Sample not received. Hence not recommended. |
| 3. | M/s. M.C. Dalal & Co (Non Vaccum pack) | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Sample not received. Hence not recommended. |
| 4. | M/s. M.C. Dalal & Co (Vaccum pack) | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | As per the sample evaluation report, the model does not meet with consistency and repeatability. Hence not recommended. |
| 5. | M/s. Thirupathi Enterpsies | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Sample not received. Hence not recommended. |
| 6. | M/s. Ganapathy Trading Company | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Rejected on Bid security. As per the sample evaluation report, the model does not meet with consistency and repeatability. Hence not recommended. |
| 7. | M/s. Mediglobe Systems | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | As per the sample evaluation report, the model does not meet with consistency and repeatability. Hence not recommended. |

**19. K2 EDTA SPRAY DRIES WITH 5.4 MG WITH HEMOGARD CLOSURE 3 ML -
1500000**

SAMPLE EVALUATION BY : DEPT. OF PATHOLOGY

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|---------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| 1. | M/s. Sri Mannar & Co | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | As per the sample evaluation report, the model does not meet with CBC Values and Cell morphology. Hence not recommended. |
| 2. | M/s. Lords Mark Industries Pvt Ltd | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Rejected on Bid security. Sample not received. Hence not recommended. |
| 3. | M/s. M.C. Dalal & Co | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | As per the sample evaluation report, the model does not meet with CBC Values and Cell morphology. Hence not recommended. |
| 4. | M/s. Thirupathi Enterprises | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Sample not received. Hence not recommended. |
| 5. | M/s. Ganapathy Trading Company | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Rejected on Bid security. As per the sample evaluation report, the model does not meet with CBC Values and Cell morphology. Hence not recommended. |
| 6. | M/s. Mediglobe Systems | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | As per the sample evaluation report, the model does not meet with CBC Values and Cell morphology. Hence not recommended. |
| 7. | M/s. Becton & Dickinson India Pvt Ltd | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Sample not received. Hence not recommended. |

**20. 0.109M (3.2%) SODIUM CITRATE WITH HEMOGARD CLOSURE 2.7 ML
- 100000**

SAMPLE EVALUATION BY : DEPT. OF PATHOLOGY

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|---------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| 1. | M/s. Sri Mannar & Co | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | As per the sample evaluation report, the model does not meet with consistency and |

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|--------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| | | | | repeatability and kit insert. Hence not recommended. |
| 2. | M/s. Lords Mark Industries Pvt Ltd | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Rejected on Bid security. Sample not received. Hence not recommended. |
| 3. | M/s. M.C. Dalal & Co | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | As per the sample evaluation report, the model does not meet with consistency and repeatability and kit insert. Hence not recommended. |
| 4. | M/s. Ganapathy Trading Company | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Rejected on Bid security. As per the sample evaluation report, the model does not meet with consistency and repeatability and kit insert. Hence not recommended. |
| 5. | M/s. Mediglobe Systems | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | As per the sample evaluation report, the model does not meet with consistency and repeatability and kit insert. Hence not recommended. |
| 6. | M/s. Becton & Dickinson India Pvt Ltd | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Sample not received. Hence not recommended. |

21. SAFETY NEEDLE WITH SAFETY SHIELD, GREEN – 21GX1.25"- 100000

SAMPLE EVALUATION BY : DEPT. OF BIO CHEMISTRY

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|--------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| 1. | M/s. Becton & Dickinson India Pvt Ltd | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Sample not submitted. Hence not recommended. |

22. QUICK RELEASE REUSABLE HOLDER - 100000

SAMPLE EVALUATION BY : DEPT. OF BIO CHEMISTRY

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|--------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| 1. | M/s. Becton & Dickinson India Pvt Ltd | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Sample not submitted. Hence not recommended. |
| 2. | M/s. Lords Mark Industries Pvt Ltd | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Rejected on Bid security. Sample not submitted. Hence not recommended. |

23. SAFETY LOCK BLOOD COLLECTION SET WITH LUER ADAPTER 21G - 100000

SAMPLE EVALUATION BY : DEPT. OF BIO CHEMISTRY

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|--------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| 1. | M/s. Becton & Dickinson India Pvt Ltd | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Sample not submitted. Hence not recommended. |

24. SAFETY LOCK BLOOD COLLECTION SET WITH LUER ADAPTER 23G*1"- 100000

SAMPLE EVALUATION BY : DEPT. OF BIO CHEMISTRY

| Sl. No | Bidders Quoted | Technical evaluation report | Commercial evaluation report | Reason for rejection |
|--------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| 1. | M/s. Becton & Dickinson India Pvt Ltd | Non Responsive | Not Evaluated | Sample not submitted. Hence not recommended. |

25. SAFETY LOCK BLOOD COLLECTION SET WITH LUER ADAPTER 22G*1"- 100000

NO BIDDERS PARTICIPATED.