

11.04.2022

Revised Evaluation Report

Tender No. 644/NANO/DME/TNMSC/ENGG/2021, Dt.01.02.2021

Tender for fixing rate contract for providing Antimicrobial surface coating in Operation Theatre

Sch. No. (1): Providing Antimicrobial surface coating in Operation Theatre

Sch . No	Name of the Bidder Make/Model	Responsiveness		Reason for rejection
		Technical evaluation report	Commercial evaluation report	
1	M/s. Ninai Agro Biotech Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai Make: Eco Atlas Pte. Ltd., Singapore/ "Ecoshield"	Non - Responsive	Non - Responsive	Demonstration: PO order is for Antimicrobial coating and no mention about "Ecoshield". No supporting documents available against the tender specification "Technology: The coating should be a proven/accepted technology and has been in use in India atleast for last 3 years supported by documentary evidence" and the Life span quoted is only for 1 year. Hence not recommended Commercial Points: The firm did not submit the following documents: a. GST Certificate as on 31.03.2020. b. Manufacturer's Authorization Form. c. Annual sales turnover certificate. d. Cash/credit limit Certificate. e. Manufacturing Capacity f. Po, End user certificate and installation report to meet the past supply criteria. Hence not recommended

Sch No	Name of the Bidder Make/Model	Responsiveness		Reason for rejection
		Technical evaluation report	Commercial evaluation report	
2	M/s. Kukreja Agencies, Nagpur Make: Goldshield Solutions, Singapore	Non - Responsive	Non - Responsive	<p>Demonstration: Antimicrobial coating done with different material (as per PO copy), test reports submitted for "Gold shield". Hence not recommended</p> <p>Commercial Points: The firm did not submit the following documents: a. Balance Sheet and Profit & Loss Account for three years certified by the Auditors. b. Manufacturer's Authorization Form. c. Annual sales turnover Certificate. d. Cash Credit limit Certificate. e. Po, End user certificate and installation report to meet the past supply criteria. Hence not recommended</p>
3	M/s. Acme Mobility Solutions Pvt. Ltd., Delhi Make: Own	----	----	Non submission of Bid Security. Hence Not Recommended.
4	M/s. Thirupathi Enterprises, Kovilpatti Make: Daesoo Hi Tech Co., Ltd.,	Non - Responsive	Non - Responsive	<p>Demonstration: The quoted model is only a small volume spray for short terms use and no supporting documents were made available against the tender specification "Technology: The coating should be a proven/accepted technology and has been in use in India atleast for last 3 years supported by documentary evidence" and the Life span quoted is only 30 days. Hence not recommended</p> <p>Commercial Points: The firm did not submit the following documents: a. GST Certificate b. Qualification Criteria c. Performance Statement</p>

Sch No	Name of the Bidder Make/Model	Responsiveness		Reason for rejection
		Technical evaluation report	Commercial evaluation report	
				d. Documentary evidence e. Manufacturer's Authorization Form – Section XI f. An affidavit confirming that the performance statement g. Annual sales turnover h. Cash/credit limit Hence not recommended
5	M/s. Madras Surgicals & Chemicals, Chennai Make: Nanophos, Greece/ "Surfashield"	Non - Responsive	Responsive	Demonstration: PO copy furnished is only for Modular OT with no antimicrobial surface coating and no supporting documents available for life span, destruction rate and technology. Active light source: Microbial activity enhanced after exposure to UV irradiation. And surface capability does not match with tender specification. Hence not recommended
6	M/s. Altimate Envirocare Asia Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai Make: Marusyo Sangyo Co. Ltd., Japan/"Inviroshield"	Responsive	Responsive	_____